

categories can easily be extended or refined as needed, i.e., we support evolution of the categorization schema. In the following we briefly list some specific aspects of the research categories covered by the bibliography.

2 Research categories

2.1 Database schema evolution

Some papers characterize types of schema changes for different data models, in particular relational, object-oriented (OO) and XML databases. These changes can be propagated to instances of the schema immediately or lazily. They may also be propagated to dependent views, something that today's commercial database systems do automatically for simpler schema changes (e.g., 1 table).

There are many papers on schema evolution for OO database systems [2,11], since evolution is intrinsic to the design processes they support. By contrast, there are still relatively few papers on schema evolution in distributed systems—possibly a good opportunity for future research.

2.2 XML schema evolution

The semi-structured nature of XML offers more flexibility in coping with schema changes and lower cost, due to such features as optionality of schema parts and multiple schemas per database [4].

2.3 Ontology evolution

Ontologies exhibit the same evolution problems as database schemas, but have some different constructs, such as controlled vocabularies, taxonomies, and rule-based knowledge representation, and hence have some different types of changes. Often, an ontology contains both schema-like conceptual metadata plus its instances; changes to metadata and instances need to be considered together. A domain ontology may be used in many applications, resulting in dependencies between distributed systems. So far, most papers focus on ontology matching and versioning aspects of evolution [6, 7].

2.4 Software evolution

The generation of a new software version shares many of the problems of schema evolution. Instead of schemas, we have program interfaces or class hierarchies. Instead of mappings or views, we have usage relationships and dependencies between program modules. Research papers classify different software evolution and maintenance scenarios [8]. Many papers focus especially on object-oriented software development [9]. Some describe change support tools.

2.5 Workflow evolution

Workflows are long-running activities. Instead of schemas and databases, we have workflow specifications and executing workflow instances. So changing a workflow specification (e.g., change/add/drop an activity) requires different actions than changing a database schema [5].

2.6 Version management

One major approach to schema evolution is the use of user-controlled, explicit versions [7, 14]. For example, the need to propagate changes is reduced by preserving older

versions of schemas. Although versioning is rarely used for database schema evolution, it is a very common approach to software evolution and will likely be important for XML, web service, and ontology evolution.

2.7 Model and mapping management

High-level operators on schemas and mappings are useful for generating views and other mappings and adapting them after schema changes.

- There is a big literature on schema matching [12], which can help determine what has changed. Schema evolution is a simple case for schema matching since most of the schema remains unchanged.
- Given a result from schema matching, there are query discovery techniques [10] to generate an executable (instance-level) mapping between the old and evolved schema.
- Given a mapping from an evolved schema to the old schema and an existing view over the old schema, mapping composition can be used to produce an updated view [15].
- Scripts of match, compose and other operators have been published for a variety of complex schema evolution scenarios [3].

3 References

1. Aumüller, D., Rahm, E.: Caravela: Semantic Content Management with Automatic Categorization. Univ. of Leipzig, 2006
2. Banerjee, J.; Kim, W.; Kim, H.; Korth, H. F. Semantics and Implementation of Schema Evolution in Object-Oriented Databases. *Proc. SIGMOD 1987*
3. Bernstein, P.A.: Applying Model Management to Classical Meta Data Problems. *Proc. CIDR 2003*
4. Beyer, K.; Oezcan, F.; Saiprasad, S.; Van der Linden, B.: DB/XML: Designing for Evolution. *Proc. SIGMOD 2005*.
5. Casati, I.; Ceri, S.; Pernici, B.; Pozzi, G. Workflow Evolution. *Proc. Int. Conf. on Conceptual Modeling (ER)*, 1996
6. Doan, A.; Madhavan, J.; Domingos, P.; Halevy, A.: Learning to Map between Ontologies on the Semantic Web. *Proc. WWW2002*
7. Klein, M.; Fensel, D.: Ontology Versioning on the Semantic Web. *Proc. Int. Semantic Web Working Symposium*, 2001
8. Lehman, M.M; Ramil, J.F.: Software Evolution - Background, Theory, Practice. *Inf. Process. Lett.* 88(1-2), 2003
9. Lieberherr, K.J., Xiao, C.: Object-Oriented Software Evolution. *IEEE Trans. Software Eng.* 19(4), 1993
10. Miller, R.; Haas, L.; Hernandez, M.: Schema Mapping as Query Discovery. *Proc. 26th VLDB*, 2000
11. Ra, Y; Rundensteiner, E.: A Transparent Schema-Evolution System Based on Object-Oriented View Technology. *IEEE Trans. Knowledge and Data Eng* 9(4), 1997
12. Rahm, E.; Bernstein, P. A.: A Survey of Approaches to Automatic Schema Matching. *VLDB Journal*, 2001
13. Roddick, J.F.: Schema Evolution in Database Systems: An Annotated Bibliography. *SIGMOD Record* 21(4), 1992
14. Roddick, J.F.: Survey of Schema Versioning Issues for Database Systems. *Information and Software Technology*, 37(7), 1995
15. Yu, C.; Popa, L.: Semantic Adaptation of Schema Mappings when Schemas Evolve. *Proc. VLDB 2005*